

Free Executive Summary

Critical Issues in Weather Modification Research



Committee on the Status and Future Directions in U.S
Weather Modification Research and Operations,
National Research Council

ISBN: 978-0-309-09053-7, 144 pages, 8 1/2 x 11, paperback (2003)

This free executive summary is provided by the National Academies as part of our mission to educate the world on issues of science, engineering, and health. If you are interested in reading the full book, please visit us online at <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/10829.html>. You may browse and search the full, authoritative version for free; you may also purchase a print or electronic version of the book. If you have questions or just want more information about the books published by the National Academies Press, please contact our customer service department toll-free at 888-624-8373.

The report examines the status of the science underlying weather modification in the United States. It calls for a coordinated national research program to answer fundamental questions about basic atmospheric processes and to address other issues that are impeding progress in weather modification.

This executive summary plus thousands more available at www.nap.edu.

Copyright © National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved. Unless otherwise indicated, all materials in this PDF file are copyrighted by the National Academy of Sciences. Distribution or copying is strictly prohibited without permission of the National Academies Press <http://www.nap.edu/permissions/>. Permission is granted for this material to be posted on a secure password-protected Web site. The content may not be posted on a public Web site.

Executive Summary

The weather on planet Earth is a vital and sometimes fatal force in human affairs. Efforts to control or reduce the harmful impacts of weather go back far in time. In recent decades our ability to observe and predict various types of meteorological systems has increased tremendously. Yet during this same period there has been a progressive decline in weather modification research. Extravagant claims, unrealistic expectations, and failure to provide scientifically demonstrable success are among the factors responsible for this decline. Significantly, every assessment of weather modification dating from the first National Academies' report in 1964 has found that scientific proof of the effectiveness of cloud seeding was lacking (with a few notable exceptions, such as the dispersion of cold fog). Each assessment also has called for a dedicated research effort directed at removing or reducing basic scientific uncertainties before proceeding with the application of weather modification methods. Yet, this type of intensive, committed effort has not been carried out.

In this, the latest National Academies' assessment of weather modification, the Committee was charged to provide an updated assessment of the ability of current and proposed weather modification capabilities to provide beneficial impacts on water resource management and weather hazard mitigation. It was asked to examine new technologies, such as ground-based, in situ, and satellite detection systems, and fast reacting seeding materials and dispensing methods. The Committee also was asked to review advances in numerical modeling on the cloud- and meso-scale and consider how improvements in computer capabilities might be applied to weather modification. This study was not designed to address policy implications of weather modification; rather it focused on the research and operational issues. Specifically, the Committee was asked to:

- review the current state of the science of weather modification and the role of weather prediction as it applies to weather modification, paying particular attention to the technological and methodological developments of the last decade;
- identify the critical uncertainties limiting advances in weather modification science and operation;

- identify future directions in weather modification research and operations for improving the management of water resources and the reduction in severe weather hazards; and
- suggest actions to identify the potential impacts of localized weather modification on large-scale weather and climate patterns.

ISSUES AND TRENDS IN WEATHER MODIFICATION

Motivation

Increasing demands for water make the potential for enhancing the sources, storage, and recycling of freshwater a legitimate area of study. Destruction and loss of life due to severe weather, which is increasing with population growth and changing demographics, require that we examine ways to reduce these impacts. In addition, there is ample evidence that human activities, such as the emission of industrial air pollution, can alter atmospheric processes on scales ranging from local precipitation patterns to global climate. These inadvertent impacts on weather and climate require a concerted research effort, yet the scientific community has largely failed to take advantage of the fact that many of the scientific underpinnings of intentional and unintentional weather modification are the same.

Current Operational and Research Efforts

Operational weather modification programs, which primarily involve cloud-seeding activities aimed at enhancing precipitation or mitigating hail fall, exist in more than 24 countries, and there were at least 66 operational programs being conducted in 10 states across the United States in 2001. No federal funding currently is supporting any of these operational activities in the United States. Despite the large number of operational activities, less than a handful of weather modification research programs are being conducted worldwide. After reaching a peak of \$20 million per year in the late 1970s, support for weather modification research in the United States has dropped to less than \$500,000 per year.

The Paradox

Clearly, there is a paradox in these divergent trends: The federal government is not willing to fund research to understand the efficacy of weather modification technologies, but others are willing to spend funds to apply these unproven techniques. Central to this paradox is the failure of past cloud-seeding experiments to provide an adequate verification of attempts at modifying the weather. A catch-22 ensues in which the inability to provide acceptable proof damages the credibility of the entire field, resulting in diminished scientific effort to address problems whose solutions would almost certainly lead to better evaluations.

Limitations and Problems

The dilemma in weather modification thus remains. We know that human activities can affect the weather, and we know that seeding will cause some changes to a cloud. However, we still are unable to translate these induced changes into verifiable changes in rainfall, hail fall, and snowfall on the ground, or to employ methods that produce credible, repeatable changes in precipitation. Among the factors that have contributed to an almost uniform failure to verify seeding effects are such uncertainties as the natural variability of precipitation, the inability to measure these variables with the required accuracy or resolution, the detection of a small induced effect under these conditions, and the need to randomize and replicate experiments.

CONCLUSIONS

The Committee concludes that there still is no convincing scientific proof of the efficacy of intentional weather modification efforts. In some instances there are strong indications of induced changes, but this evidence has not been subjected to tests of significance and reproducibility. This does not challenge the scientific basis of weather modification concepts. Rather it is the absence of adequate understanding of critical atmospheric processes that, in turn, lead to a failure in producing predictable, detectable, and verifiable results. Questions such as the transferability of seeding techniques or whether seeding in one location can reduce precipitation in other areas can only be addressed through sustained research of the underlying science combined with carefully crafted hypotheses and physical and statistical experiments.

Despite the lack of scientific proof, the Committee concludes that scientific understanding has progressed on many fronts since the last National Academies' report and that there have been many promising developments and advances. For instance, there have been substantial improvements in the ice-nucleating capabilities of new seeding materials. Recent experiments using hygroscopic seeding particles in water and ice (mixed-phase) clouds have shown encouraging results, with precipitation increases attributed to increasing the lifetime of the rain-producing systems. There are strong suggestions of positive seeding effects in winter orographic glaciogenic systems (i.e., cloud systems occurring over mountainous terrain). Satellite imagery has underlined the role of high concentrations of aerosols in influencing clouds, rain, and lightning, thus drawing the issues of intentional and inadvertent weather modification closer together. This and other recent work has highlighted critical questions about the microphysical processes leading to precipitation, the transport and dispersion of seeding material in the cloud volume, the effects of seeding on the dynamical growth of clouds, and the logistics of translating storm-scale effects into an area-wide precipitation effect. By isolating these critical questions, which currently hamper progress in weather modification, future research efforts can be focused and optimized.

Additional advances in observational, computational, and statistical technologies have been made over the past two to three decades that could be applied to weather modification. These include, respectively, the capabilities to (1) detect and quantify relevant variables on temporal and spatial scales not previously possible; (2) acquire,

store, and process vast quantities of data; and (3) account for sources of uncertainty and incorporate complex spatial and temporal relationships. Computer power has enabled the development of models that range in scale from a single cloud to the global atmosphere. Numerical modeling simulations—validated by observations whenever possible—are useful for testing intentional weather modification and corresponding larger-scale effects. Few of these tools, however, have been applied in any collective and concerted fashion to resolve critical uncertainties in weather modification. These numerous methodological advances thus have not resulted in greater scientific understanding of the principles underlying weather modification. This has not been due to flawed science but to the lack of support for this particular field of the science over the past few decades. As a result there still is no conclusive scientific proof of the efficacy of intentional weather modification, although the probabilities for seeding-induced alterations are high in some instances. Despite this lack of scientific proof, operational weather modification programs to increase rain and snowfall and to suppress hail formation continue worldwide based on cost versus probabilistic benefit analyses.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendation: Because weather modification could potentially contribute to alleviating water resource stresses and severe weather hazards, because weather modification is being attempted regardless of scientific proof supporting or refuting its efficacy, because inadvertent atmospheric changes are a reality, and because an entire suite of new tools and techniques now exist that could be applied to this issue, the Committee recommends that there be a renewed commitment to advancing our knowledge of fundamental atmospheric processes that are central to the issues of intentional and inadvertent weather modification. The lessons learned from such research are likely to have implications well beyond issues of weather modification. Sustainable use of atmospheric water resources and mitigation of the risks posed by hazardous weather are important goals that deserve to be addressed through a sustained research effort.

Recommendation: The Committee recommends that a coordinated national program be developed to conduct a sustained research effort in the areas of cloud and precipitation microphysics, cloud dynamics, cloud modeling, and cloud seeding; it should be implemented using a balanced approach of modeling, laboratory studies, and field measurements designed to reduce the key uncertainties listed in Box ES.1. This program should not focus on near-term operational applications of weather modification; rather it should address fundamental research questions from these areas that currently impede progress and understanding of intentional and inadvertent weather modification. Because a comprehensive set of specific research questions cannot possibly be listed here, they should be defined by individual proposals funded by a national program. Nevertheless, examples of such questions may include the following:

- What is the background aerosol concentration in various places, at different times of the year, and during different meteorological conditions? To what extent would weather modification operations be dependent on these background concentrations?

- What is the variability of cloud and cell properties (including structure, intensity, evolution, and lifetime) within larger clusters, and how do clouds and cells interact with larger-scale systems? What are the effects of localized seeding on the larger systems in which the seeded clouds are embedded?
- How accurate are radar reflectivity measurements in measuring the differences between accumulated rainfall in seeded and unseeded clouds? How does seeding affect the drop-size distribution that determines the relationship between the measured radar parameter and actual rainfall at the surface?

BOX ES.1

Summary of Key Uncertainties

The statements in boldface type are considered to have the highest priority.

Cloud/precipitation microphysics issues

- **Background concentration, sizes, and chemical composition of aerosols that participate in cloud processes**
 - Nucleation processes as they relate to chemical composition, sizes, and concentrations of hygroscopic aerosol particles
 - Ice nucleation (primary and secondary)
 - Evolution of the droplet spectra in clouds and processes that contribute to spectra broadening and the onset of coalescence
 - Relative importance of drizzle in precipitation processes

Cloud dynamics issues

- **Cloud-to-cloud and mesoscale interactions as they relate to updraft and downdraft structures and cloud evolution and lifetimes**
 - Cloud and sub-cloud dynamical interactions as they relate to precipitation amounts and the size spectrum of hydrometeors
 - Microphysical, thermodynamical, and dynamical interactions within clouds

Cloud modeling issues

- **Combination of the best cloud models with advanced observing systems in carefully designed field tests and experiments**
 - Extension of existing and development of new cloud-resolving models explicitly applied to weather modification
 - Application of short-term predictive models including precipitation forecasts and data assimilation and adjoint methodology in treated and untreated situations
 - Evaluation of predictive models for severe weather events and establishment of current predictive capabilities including probabilistic forecasts
 - Advancement of the capabilities in cloud models to simulate dispersion trajectories of seeding material
 - Use of cloud models to examine effects of cloud seeding outside of seeded areas
 - Combination of cloud models with statistical analysis to establish seeding effects

Seeding-related issues

- **Targeting of seeding agents, diffusion and transport of seeding material, and spread of seeding effects throughout the cloud volume**
- **Measurement capabilities and limitations of cell-tracking software, radar, and technologies to observe seeding effects**
 - Analysis of recent observations with new instruments of high concentrations of ice crystals
 - Interactions between different hydrometeors in clouds and how to best model them
 - Modeling and prediction of treated and untreated conditions for simulation
 - Mechanisms of transferring the storm-scale effect into an area-wide precipitation effect and tracking possible downwind changes at the single cell, cloud cluster, and floating target scales

The tasks involved in weather modification research fall within the mission responsibilities of several government departments and agencies, and careful coordination of these tasks will be required.

Recommendation: The Committee recommends that this coordinated research program include:

- **Capitalizing on new remote and in situ observational tools to carry out exploratory and confirmatory experiments in a variety of cloud and storm systems** (e.g., Doppler lidars and airborne radars, microwave radiometers, millimeter-wave and polarimetric cloud radars, global positioning system (GPS) and cell-tracking software, the Cloud Particle Imager, the Gerber Particle Volume Monitor, the Cloud Droplet Spectrometer). Initial field studies should concentrate on areas that are amenable to accurate numerical simulation and multiparameter, three-dimensional observations that allow the testing of clearly formulated physical hypotheses. Some especially promising possibilities where substantial further progress may occur (not listed in any priority) include

➤ *Hygroscopic seeding to enhance rainfall.* The small-scale experiments and larger-scale coordinated field efforts proposed by the Mazatlan workshop on hygroscopic seeding (WMO, 2000) could form a starting point for such efforts. A randomized seeding program with concurrent physical measurements (conducted over a period as short as three years) could help scientists to either confirm or discard the statistical results of recent experiments.

➤ *Orographic cloud seeding to enhance precipitation.* Such a program could build on existing operational activities in the mountainous western United States. A randomized program that includes strong modeling and observational components, employing advanced computational and observational tools, could substantially enhance our understanding of seeding effects and winter orographic precipitation.

- *Studies of specific seeding effects.* This may include studies such as those of the initial droplet broadening and subsequent formation of drizzle and rain associated with hygroscopic seeding, or of the role of large ($>1\ \mu\text{m}$) particles (e.g., sea spray) in reducing droplet concentrations in polluted regions where precipitation is suppressed due to excess concentrations of small cloud condensation nuclei (CCN).
- **Improving cloud model treatment of cloud and precipitation physics.** Special focus is needed on modeling CCN, ice nuclei processes, and the growth, collision, breakup, and coalescence of water drops and ice particles. Such studies must be based on cloud physics laboratory measurements, tested and tuned in model studies, and validated by in situ and ground observations.
- **Improving and using current computational and data assimilation capabilities.** Advances are needed to allow rapid processing of large quantities of data from new observations and better simulation of moist cloud and precipitation processes. These models could subsequently be used as planning and diagnostic tools in future weather modification studies, and to develop techniques to assist in the evaluation of seeding effects.
- **Capitalizing on existing field facilities and developing partnerships among research groups and select operational programs.** Research in weather modification should take full advantage of opportunities offered by other field research programs and by operational weather modification activities. Modest additional research efforts directed at the types of research questions mentioned above can be added with minimal interference to existing programs. A particularly promising opportunity for such a partnership is the Department of Energy Atmospheric Radiation Measurement program/Cloud and Radiation Test bed (DOE ARM/CART) site in the southern Great Plains (Oklahoma/Kansas) augmented by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Global Precipitation Mission. This site provides a concentration of the most advanced observing systems and an infrastructural base for sustained basic research. The National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Environmental Technology Laboratory (NOAA/ETL) also could serve as important focal points for weather modification research.

In pursuing research related to weather modification explicit, financial and collegial support should be given to young aspiring scientists to enable them to contribute to our fundamental store of knowledge about methods to enhance atmospheric resources and reduce the impacts of hazardous weather. It must be acknowledged that issues related to weather modification go well beyond the limits of physical science. Such issues involve society as a whole, and scientific weather modification research should be accompanied by parallel social, political, economic, environmental, and legal studies.

The Committee emphasizes that weather modification should be viewed as a fundamental and legitimate element of atmospheric and environmental science. Owing to the growing demand for fresh water, the increasing levels of damage and loss of life resulting from severe weather, the undertaking of operational activities without the

guidance of a careful scientific foundation, and the reality of inadvertent atmospheric changes, the scientific community now has the opportunity, challenge, and responsibility to assess the potential efficacy and value of intentional weather modification technologies.

CRITICAL ISSUES IN WEATHER MODIFICATION RESEARCH

Committee on the Status of and Future Directions in
U.S. Weather Modification Research and Operations

Board on Atmospheric Sciences and Climate

Division on Earth and Life Studies

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES PRESS
Washington, D.C.
www.nap.edu

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES PRESS 500 Fifth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001

NOTICE: The project that is the subject of this report was approved by the Governing Board of the National Research Council, whose members are drawn from the councils of the National Academy of Sciences, the National Academy of Engineering, and the Institute of Medicine. The members of the committee responsible for the report were chosen for their special competences and with regard for appropriate balance.

Support for this project was provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration under Contract No. 50-DGNA-1-90024-T0006. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the organizations or agencies that provided support for the project.

International Standard Book Number 0-309-09053-9 (Book)
International Standard Book Number 0-309-518520-0 (PDF)
Library of Congress Control Number 2003115099

Additional copies of this report are available from the National Academies Press, 500 Fifth Street, N.W., Lockbox 285, Washington, D.C. 20055; (800) 624-6242 or (202) 334-3313 (in the Washington metropolitan area); Internet, <http://www.nap.edu>.

Cover: Photograph taken by Dr. William L. Woodley at 7:39 pm CDT on August 11, 2001, from a Texas seeder aircraft flying at 20,000 ft. The cloud shown reaching cumulonimbus stature had been seeded near its top 10 minutes earlier with ejectable silver iodide pyrotechnics.

Copyright 2003 by the National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES *Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine*

The **National Academy of Sciences** is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. Upon the authority of the charter granted to it by the Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Bruce M. Alberts is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. Wm. A. Wulf is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The **Institute of Medicine** was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, upon its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Harvey V. Fineberg is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The **National Research Council** was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Bruce M. Alberts and Dr. Wm. A. Wulf are chair and vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

www.national-academies.org

**COMMITTEE ON THE STATUS OF AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN
U.S. WEATHER MODIFICATION RESEARCH AND OPERATIONS**

MICHAEL GARSTANG (*chair*), University of Virginia, Charlottesville
ROSCOE R. BRAHAM, JR., North Carolina State University, Raleigh
ROELOF T. BRUINTJES, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado
STEVEN F. CLIFFORD, University of Colorado, Boulder
ROSS N. HOFFMAN, Atmospheric & Environmental Research, Inc., Lexington, Massachusetts
DOUGLAS K. LILLY, University of Oklahoma, Norman
ROLAND LIST*, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada
ROBERT J. SERAFIN, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado
PAUL D. TRY, Science & Technology Corporation, Silver Spring, Maryland
JOHANNES VERLINDE, Pennsylvania State University, University Park

NRC Staff

LAURIE GELLER, Study Director (until 7/31/03)
VAUGHAN C. TUREKIAN, Study Director (until 8/31/02)
ELIZABETH A. GALINIS, Project Assistant
JULIE DEMUTH, Research Associate

* Resigned 9/02

BOARD ON ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES AND CLIMATE

ERIC J. BARRON, (*chair*), Pennsylvania State University, University Park
RAYMOND J. BAN, The Weather Channel, Inc., Atlanta, Georgia
ROBERT C. BEARDSLEY, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Massachusetts
ROSINA M. BIERBAUM, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
HOWARD B. BLUESTEIN*, University of Oklahoma, Norman
RAFAEL L. BRAS, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge
STEVEN F. CLIFFORD*, University of Colorado/CIRES, Boulder
CASSANDRA G. FESEN, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire
GEORGE L. FREDERICK*, Vaisala Inc., Boulder, Colorado
JUDITH L. LEAN*, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C.
MARGARET A. LEMONE, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado
MARIO J. MOLINA, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge
MICHAEL J. PRATHER*, University of California, Irvine
WILLIAM J. RANDEL, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado
RICHARD D. ROSEN, Atmospheric & Environmental Research, Inc., Lexington, Massachusetts
THOMAS F. TASCIONE*, Sterling Software, Inc., Bellevue, Nebraska
JOHN C. WYNGAARD, Pennsylvania State University, University Park

Ex Officio Members

EUGENE M. RASMUSSEN, University of Maryland, College Park
ERIC F. WOOD, Princeton University, New Jersey

NRC Staff

CHRIS ELFRING, Director
ELBERT W. (JOE) FRIDAY, JR., Senior Scholar
LAURIE GELLER, Senior Program Officer
AMANDA STAUDT, Program Officer
SHELDON DROBOT, Program Officer
JULIE DEMUTH, Research Associate
ELIZABETH A. GALINIS, Project Assistant
ROB GREENWAY, Project Assistant
DIANE GUSTAFSON, Administrative Associate
ROBIN MORRIS, Financial Associate

* Term ended 2/28/03

Preface

The growing evidence that human activities can affect the weather on scales ranging from local to global has added a new and important dimension to the place of weather modification in the field of atmospheric sciences. There is a need, more urgent than ever, to understand the fundamental processes related to intentional and unintentional changes in the atmosphere. The question of how well current technology, practice, and theory are equipped to meet these broader goals of weather modification is central to this report. The challenge to find the right balance between assured knowledge and the need for action is one which must guide the future actions of both scientists and administrators concerned with weather modification.

Difficulties demonstrating repeatability of weather modification experiments, providing convincing scientific evidence of success, and overcoming serious social and legal problems led to the moderation of the early predictions of success in weather modification by the late 1970s. The need to understand the fundamental physical and chemical processes underlying weather modification became obvious, thus a dedicated research effort was repeatedly recommended by successive national panels. Failure to devote significant public and private resources to basic research polarized both the support agencies and scientific community, generating serious feelings of ambivalence within these communities toward weather modification.

Despite significant advances in computational capabilities to deal with complex processes in the atmosphere and remarkable advances in observing technology, little of this collective power has been applied in any coherent way to weather modification. The potential for progress in weather modification as seen by this Committee is dependent upon an improved fundamental understanding of crucial cloud, precipitation, and larger-scale atmospheric processes. The Committee believes that such progress is now within reach should the above advances be applied in a sustained manner to answer fundamental outstanding questions. While the Committee acknowledges the prospect of achieving significant advances in the ability of humans to exercise a degree of control over the weather, we caution that such progress is not possible without a concerted and sustained effort at understanding basic processes in the atmosphere. Furthermore, such results are as likely to lead to viable weather modification methodologies as they are to indicate that intentional modification of a weather system is neither currently possible nor desirable.

A significant part of the advances projected from applying the current intellectual and technological tools to solving critical uncertainties in weather modification will produce results well beyond the initial objective and will lead to applications in totally unexpected areas. For example, the ability to make useful precipitation forecasts, particularly from convective storms, may be a valuable by-product of weather modification research. The Committee is also acutely conscious of the fact that, particularly in modifying severe weather, researchers may be required to have, before attempting treatment, a reliable and proven ability to predict what would have taken place had the system not been modified. As a chaotic system, the atmosphere is inherently predictable only for a limited time, with the time limit shorter for smaller spatial scales. Thus, predictions must be couched in probabilistic terms that may not satisfy the user community that a reliable prediction has been made.

This report is the latest in a series of assessments of weather modification carried out by the National Academies, which produced reports in 1964, 1966, and 1973, aimed at guiding weather modification research and policy development. The last National Academies report is nearly three decades old and, despite more recent assessments by other bodies such as the American Meteorological Society and the World Meteorological Organization, a need was seen for an evaluation of weather modification research and operations in the United States.

In November 2000, the National Academies' Board on Atmospheric Sciences and Climate (BASC) organized a program development workshop to assess whether it would be useful to take a fresh look at the scientific underpinnings of weather modification. A year later, a study committee was convened, and four committee meetings were held over eight months. The Committee received input from individuals in federal and state agencies, scientists who have or are conducting relevant research, and professionals active in operational weather programs. The charge to the Committee explicitly excluded consideration of the complex social and legal issues associated with weather modification. This part of the question is of such importance in any weather modification effort that the Committee did go so far as to note, but not elaborate upon, the most critical questions in this area. Also in accordance with its charge, the Committee did not address inadvertent global-scale modification of climate and weather (e.g., global warming). However, the potential local and regional impacts of both intentional and inadvertent weather modification are considered.

The report is addressed primarily to Administration officials and funding agencies who determine the direction of atmospheric research through budget decisions. The Committee recognizes, however, that weather modification has a wide audience. The Preface and the Executive Summary are directed at this wider audience, while a greater level of technical detail is contained within the body of the report.

Michael Garstang, Chair
Committee on the Status of and
Future Directions in U.S. Weather
Modification Research and Operations

Acknowledgments

This report has been reviewed in draft form by individuals chosen for their diverse perspectives and technical expertise, in accordance with procedures approved by the National Research Council's Report Review Committee. The purpose of this independent review is to provide candid and critical comments that will assist the institution in making its published report as sound as possible and to ensure that the report meets institutional standards for objectivity, evidence, and responsiveness to the study charge. The review comments and draft manuscript remain confidential to protect the integrity of the deliberative process. We wish to thank the following individuals for their review of this report:

Richard Anthes, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research
Rafael Bras, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Stanley A. Changnon, Illinois State Water Survey
William Cotton, Colorado State University
John Hallett, Desert Research Institute
Daniel Rosenfeld, Hebrew University
Joanne Simpson, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Gabor Vali, University of Wyoming
Francis Zwiers, University of Victoria

Although the reviewers listed above have provided constructive comments and suggestions, they were not asked to endorse the report's conclusions or recommendations, nor did they see the final draft of the report before its release. The review of this report was overseen by John A. Dutton, The Pennsylvania State University. Appointed by the National Research Council, he was responsible for making certain that an independent examination of this report was carried out in accordance with institutional procedures and that all review comments were carefully considered. Responsibility for the final content of this report rests entirely with the authoring committee and the institution.

Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1 INTRODUCTION	9
Motivation, 9	
Cloud Physics, 13	
First Experiments and First Controversies, 15	
An Emerging Industry and Developing Public Concern, 16	
The Pioneering Experiments, 17	
The Need for Impartial Assessment of Seeding Results, 18	
2 CURRENT STATUS OF WEATHER MODIFICATION OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH	23
Current Operational Efforts, 23	
Current Scientific Efforts, 24	
Other Results, 35	
Recognition of Key Uncertainties in Weather Modification, 36	
3 EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS FOR WEATHER MODIFICATION	39
Physical Evaluation, 39	
Statistical Evaluation, 40	
Measurement Uncertainties, 42	
Uncertainties in Defining and Tracking the Target, 42	
Uncertainties in Reaching the Target, 43	
Assessing the Area Affected, 44	
4 TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES FOR ADVANCING OUR UNDERSTANDING	45
Measurement and Observing Technologies, 45	
Modeling and Data Assimilation, 54	
Laboratory Studies, 61	
Field Studies, 63	

5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	67
Conclusions, 67	
Recommendations, 72	
REFERENCES	75
APPENDIXES	89
A Glaciogenic and Hygroscopic Seeding: Previous Research and Current Status, 89	
B Modern Statistical Methods and Weather Modification Research, 107	
C Glossary, 114	
D Acronyms, 118	
E Community Participation, 119	
F Committee Member Biographies, 121	